

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,631	HARDMAN, THOMAS JAMES	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) DAVID CERVETTI.

(3) ____.

(2) THOMAS HARDMAN.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2008

Time: 9:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(B) REJECTION BASED ON KNOPPER

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

KNOPPIX

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant described the invention and how it differentiates from the prior art of record. Examiner suggested some claim amendment, see attached action for the drafted claim. It appears that the claim would be allowable over the prior art of record. Once a formal response is received, an updated search will be performed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

